

APPLICATION NO.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

LICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	Т	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/567,257	02/06/2006	Tomoo Sugawara		4670-0120PUS1	3057	
2292 7590 09/12/2007 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			[EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747	incl noblicon w bicon			TESKIN, FRED M		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			٢	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

NOTIFICATION DATE **DELIVERY MODE**

09/12/2007 **ELECTRONIC**

1713

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	•				
Office Action Summary		10/567,257	SUGAWARA, TOMOO					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Fred M. Teskin	1713					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence a	ddress				
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (136(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this of the company					
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 J	lulv 2007						
2a)⊠		•						
3)	_							
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under	•	• •					
Disposit	ion of Claims							
- 4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,5 and 7-9</u> is/are pending in the application.							
ندے (۰	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· —	Claim(s) 1,2,4,5 and 9 is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) 7, 8 is/are objected to.	•	,					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
_	The specification is objected to by the Examine	or	•					
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		by the Evaminar					
.0,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	-					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		, ,	ER 1 121(d)				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E							
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
12)[🔀]	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	5 119(a)-(d) or (f)					
	⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:	r priority under oo o.o.o. 5	, 110(a) (a) or (i).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen		polication No.					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		· · — —	l Stage				
	application from the International Burea			- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not	received.					
	•							
Attachmen		_						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date					
_	æ of Draπsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		nformal Patent Application					
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/567,257

Art Unit: 1713

Amendments presented in the reply filed July 9, 2007 are acknowledged. Claims 3 and 6 have been cancelled and claims 7-9 added. Accordingly, claims 1, 2, 4, 5 and 7-9 are currently pending and under examination.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5 and 9 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over GB 1533124, alone or in view of EP 0283719 ("Hara").

The rejection is maintained for the reasons of record (see prior Action, pp. 3-5) and those which follow.

Applicants' arguments and the Fujita declaration under Rule 132 filed July 9, 2007 have been fully considered but are not persuasive of patentability.

Applicants argue Showa Denko (GB '124) and Hara are completely silent relative to setting the amount of aromatically condensed ring-containing cycloolefin monomer to the claimed range and to use of aromatically condensed ring-containing cycloolefin monomer to decrease the amount of flame retardant that is necessary.

Examiner disagrees.

Regarding the claimed amount, Showa Denko exemplifies ring-opening polymerization of mixtures including 5,6-dichloromethyl-bicyclo-[2.2.1]-heptene-2 (monomer A) and specific phenyl-substituted norbornene monomers (monomer B), see

Page 3

Art Unit: 1713

Examples 57 and 58. The reported concentration of monomer B (40 mole %) appears to equate to a weight-% amount within the presently claimed range. And while the phenyl substituent is not part of a condensed ring. Example 48 of Showa Denko teaches the use of 1,4-dihydro-1,4-methanonaphthalene at 1 mol %. This species of monomer B contains a benzene ring condensed with norbornene, and thus corresponds to applicants' aromatic condensed ring-containing monomer. In addition, Showa Denko generically teaches that the proportion of the unsaturated cyclic compound (corresponding to monomer B therein) is at most 50 mole percent based on total monomers and lists the aromatic-norbornene derivatives among particularly preferred unsaturated cyclic comonomers (see page 11, lines 50+ and page 12, lines 23-28). As such, Showa Denko would have suggested including in its polymerizable composition an aromatic condensed ring-containing monomer like 1,4-dihydro-1,4methanonaphthalene in an amount within applicants' claimed range. Further, it is not necessary to the prima facie case that the reference teach the use of an aromatically condensed ring-containing cycloolefin monomer for the same purpose as applicants. The motivation in the prior art to combine reference teachings does not have to be identical to that of applicants to establish prima facie obviousness. In re Kemps, 40 USPQ2d 1309, 1311 (Fed. Cir. 1996)

With respect to Example 48 of Showa Denko, applicants argue that the compound used in this example is "impossible in theory" to synthesize because a compound (i), shown in an attached Appendix A, which is necessary in synthesizing the compound, cannot exist.

Art Unit: 1713

However, the reaction product of the synthesis shown in Appendix A consists of a cyclohexadiene ring condensed with norbornane. By contrast, the compound used in Example 48 of Showa Denko contains a benzene ring condensed with norbornene, as do the general formulae (VIII) and (IX) therein. As such, the reaction product depicted in the appendix does not correspond to the aromatic-norbornene derivatives taught by Showa Denko. And in any event, the cycloaddition reaction of benzyne and cyclopentadiene to produce benznorbornadiene is known in the prior art as evidenced by Brennan *et al*, cited herewith (see page 324, diene 1 and footnote 1 and page 330, first paragraph under Experimental Section). Diene 1 of the cited article has the same structure as 1,4-dihydro-1,4-methanonaphthalene and benzyne appears to correspond to the compound (i) shown in the appendix. Thus, prior art knowledge of a synthetic method for making the compound used in the relevant example of Showa Denko is established.

Further, relying on a comparison of inventive Experiments 1 and 2 of the Fujita declaration and Comparative Examples 1 and 2 in the Specification, applicants note that for the laminates of the invention, flaming times were 7 seconds, whereas in the comparative examples, flaming times were 30 seconds or more. However, in neither comparative example was any aromatically condensed ring-containing monomer used. The declaration fails to explain how such comparison is representative of the closest embodiments of Showa Denko, wherein an aromatic ring-containing monomer is used which contains a benzene ring condensed with norbornene (Example 48) or a phenyl substituent on a norbornene ring (Example 57), and wherein the ring-opening

polymerization products are characterized as excellent in flame retardant capability (page 21, II. 13-15). As such, the declaration is deficient in that the purported evidence of unexpected results does not relate to the closest prior art, *In re DeBlauwe*, 222 USPQ 191, 196 (Fed. Cir. 1984). Thus, the evidence of unobviousness of record fails to outweigh the evidence of obviousness of record.

Claims 7 and 8 are objected to are objected to as being dependent on a rejected base claim but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claim. Inclusion in the claimed composition of an aromatic condensed ring-containing cycloolefin monomer having three unsaturated aliphatic rings (claim 7) or tetracyclo[9.2.1.0^{2,10}.0^{3,8}]tetradeca-3,5,7,12-tetraene (claim 8) is not taught nor fairly suggested in the available prior art.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 1713

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner F. M. Teskin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1116. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:00 AM - 4:30 PM, and can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The appropriate fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FMTeskin/09-05-07

FREDTESKINI
PRIMARY FXAARINI